

Pierre GENEVIER
18 Rue des Canadiens, App. 227
86000 Poitiers
Tel.: 09 80 73 50 18; mob. : 07 82 85 41 63; Email: pierre.genevier@laposte.net.

Mrs. Michelle Bachelet, High Commissioner for Human Rights
Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights
Email: asg-ohchr@ohchr.org, copy dhc-ohchr@ohchr.org.

Poitiers, August 16th, 2019

Object: Application for the *Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights position* [<http://www.pierre-genevier.eu/npdf2/asg-HCHR-appli-8-16-19.pdf>].

Dear Mrs. Bachelet,

1. Referring to my letters dated 12-7-17 ([exh. 1](#), addressed to Mr. Guterres, among others) and 3-30-19 ([exh. 8](#), addressed to you, among others) on, among other subjects, (a) the *unconstitutionality* of the French legal aid (LA) system and (b) the importance of designing an efficient LA system (and of developing 2 global computer applications necessary to implement it) that could be used **by all countries**, I take the liberty of writing you to apply for the *Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights* position the UN is advertising on its website.

2. As explained in my 4-11-16 vision statement ([exh. 4](#), sent in the context of my 2016 informal UNSG application, [exh. 3](#)), **the high cost of building an efficient justice system that works for everyone, and in particular a justice system that works well for the poor, is one of the main problems** that must be addressed to decrease significantly and rapidly the number of human rights violations around the world and **to achieve the SDG**, in particular to defeat poverty and to decrease inequalities [especially when you know that '*an estimated four billion people live outside the protection of the law and those that live at or below the poverty line face institutional, legal and administrative barriers ...*', see background note of the 6th 2014 UN high-level event on the post-2015 dev. Agenda']. And the UN, and in particular the UNOHCHR, can play an **important** role in helping UN member states build **more efficient** justice systems **at lower costs**.

3. **The proposal** (a) to design a new more efficient LA system [including the creation of a group of dedicated (to legal aid) **judges** and of a group of dedicated (to legal aid) **lawyers** under the **joint responsibility** of local **Governments** and the UNOHCHR] and (b) to develop the two global computer (Internet) applications necessary to implement it around the world, I described in my 12-7-17 letter ([exh. 1, no 61-65](#)), and, into more detail, in my 3-30-19 letter ([exh. 8, no 73-95](#)), **presents a way** for the UN to help UN member states decrease the cost, and improve the efficiency, of their justice systems. Such a **project**, which would necessarily include the creation of *an international classification and codification of all the legal case types* that are judged every year around the world, **would also give us** an opportunity (1) to improve all countries **justice information systems**, (2) to facilitate (a) the production of more accurate statistics, (b) the comparisons between countries, and (c) the optimization of *our justice systems' structure (or architecture)*, and (3) to fight more efficiently terrorism and transnational and organized crime ([exh. 8, no 85.1](#)).

4. In 1997, I had already encouraged the development of common global computer applications to help us resolve certain common problems, when I presented *my proposal to improve the transfer and integration of statistical data at the word wide level* [see the 1997 INCO Copernicus program proposal (31 p., [exh. 11.1](#)), and the EU commission evaluation and several letters of interest (20 p., [exh. 11.2](#), [exh. 11.3](#))], but, at that time already, and several times after that too, the UN and the World Bank have missed the opportunities they had **to use the Internet more efficiently** (a) to help the UN member states resolve their common administrative, justice, and other problems, and (b) **to help defeat poverty** [in particular in 2005 when the WGIG presented - to the US - inappropriate Internet governance proposals], and many people have suffered because of this failure to act. If *you* decide (1) **to change your strategy** and (2) to start using the Internet more efficiently, I could defend the solution to improve the legal aid systems around the world (summarized here and described into more details in my previous letters) in front of UN member states - for you.

5. And, in this context, **my efforts (1) to explain** why the French LA system is so dishonest for the poor [and why it robs them *systematically*, and more generally why the French justice system is so corrupt ; see, among other, my

letters to the *députés* and senators dated 6-7-18 (exh. 2), 2-5-19 (exh. 9), and to you and Mr. Forst, 3-30-19 (exh. 8), 4-15-19 (exh. 7), 6-11-19 (exh. 6), ...], **(2) to point out the obvious bad faith and dishonesty** of the successive governments, and of the '*députés*' and *senators* who allowed the systems to stay in effect for more than 27 years, and *who obviously continue to do so*, if you look at the 7-23-19 parliamentary report on LA (exh. 13) that ignores all the arguments, remarks and **documented accusations** I made in my recent letters written to them and to Mrs. Moutchou [2-5-19 (exh. 9), 3-30-19 (exh. 8), 6-11-19 (exh. 10).], and **(3) to defend** my own cases in France, Switzerland, Belgium, and the US would also **be of some use to you** (a) because France is not the only country to have this kind of justice problems, other countries have them too, and (b) because the design of a more efficient LA system and the development of the 2 global applications necessary to implement it around the world require to have a good knowledge of LA systems [and (c) because to have some experience in using LA systems and justice systems would be useful too].

*** 5.1 I cannot comment here *the 7-23-19 legal aid report* (exh. 13), but if you read it carefully together with my recent letters, and my QPC (exh. 14), you can easily notice that Mrs. Moutchou and Mr. Gosselin have expressed an **obvious hate** toward the poor in their report, as well as their obvious bad faith and dishonesty, and that they have made an obvious effort to maintain a very corrupt justice system and to keep as many advantages as possible for the lawyers [in particular when they ignored certain grave problems of the LA law and/or the possible solutions to resolve them, I had described in my letters]. If Mr. Forst and his team had sent *the urgent appeal* (exh. 7.e.5) I asked them (in April) to send to France and to the Press and Media, they would have encouraged (if not forced) Mrs. Moutchou and Mr. Gosselin **to address publicly** my well documented **critics of the LA law** and my well documented **accusations of fraud** (at the highest courts ...) to maintain the dishonest LA system (...), and, indirectly, **they would have encouraged** Mrs. Moutchou and Mr. Gosselin to write a **more honest** report on the LA system, but, **sadly**, they were **too busy** to send this one-page *urgent appeal* (see exh. 6). A hearing on my QPC has been set **on 9-25-19** (exh. 15), but I have not yet receive any report from the CC, so I cannot comment either the CC position on it at this time. ***

6. I also join here my *résumé* (exh. 5) as required, but the proposals I made, and the letters I sent you and your colleagues [mentioned above and in this paragraph, including the letters to the UN dated 8-23-16 (exh. 12.1), and to the US Congress 8-23-16 (exh. 12.2)], should be more useful to you to evaluate (a) the work I have done, (b) the competences I have acquired, and (c) the **seriousness** of the difficulties I encountered over the years [including **the persecutions I was victim of**, and that are very similar to the ones *human rights defenders* are victim of **regularly** according to Mr. Forst's reports, (see exh. 6, no 4.1-4.2)]. Both the *Assistant Secretary-General for Human Rights* position and the *Deputy High Commissioner for HR* position include in their responsibilities to *assist the High Commissioner in maintaining relations with Governments, including their Permanent Missions in New York (or Geneva), regional and national institutions, non-governmental organizations, the private sector, media and academia*, so I would be happy to be of service to you in any of these two positions in which, it seems, I could help you (a) defend the proposal on a new LA system (mentioned above) in front of UN member states, and (b) organize the development of the computer applications necessary to implement this system in all countries that want to use it.

7. I look forward to hearing from you and, eventually, to meeting you to discuss into more details these different subjects, and remain

Yours sincerely,

Pierre Geneviev

PS. : If you have any trouble accessing the documents attached through Internet links, please let me know, and I will sent you a PDF version of the documents by email.

Exhibits (Internet links only).

- Exh. 1 : Letter to the UN (...) dated 12-8-17, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-ungsg-unga-usa-uni-8-12-17.pdf>].
- Exh. 2 : Letter sent to the députés and sénateurs, 6-7-18, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-parl-syndi-press-AJ-PNF-7-6-18.pdf>].
- Exh. 3 : UNSG application dated 4-11-16, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/UN-cand-UNSG-11-4-16.pdf>].
- Exh. 4 : Vision statement, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/vision-8-4-16.pdf>]. Exh. 5 : Résumé, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/cvfr-12-8-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 6 : Letter to M. Forst, 11-6-19, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-forst-UN-3-11-6-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 7 : Letter to Mrs. Bachelet and Mr. Forst, 15-4-19; [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-bachelet-forst-15-4-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 8 : Letter, 30-3-19, to M. Macron, Mme Bachelet (...); [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-pres-parl-bachelet-30-3-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 9 : Letter, 5-2-19, to the députés and sénateurs, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-parl-AJ-PNF-2-5-2-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 10 : Letter to Mrs. Moutchou, 11-6-19, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-moutchou-parl-11-6-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 11 : INCO Copernicus program proposal 1997 (31 p., 11.1), [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/incoproposal7-1-11.pdf>].
EU commission evaluation and letters of interest (20 p., 11.2) [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/incoPROPANDLETsup1.pdf>];
and (11.3) [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/incoletsup2.pdf>].
- Exh. 12 : Letter addressed to the UN, 23-8-16 (12.1), [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/UN-cand-UNSG-3-23-8-16.pdf>].
letter addressed to the US congress, 25-8-16 (12.2): [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/let-us-congress-23-8-16.pdf>].
- Exh. 13 : Mrs. Moutchou's report on LA, 7-23-19, [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/rap-AJ-Moutchou-23-7-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 14 : Contestation and QPC, 8-7-19; [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/cont-nt-QPC-AJ-etc-a203-8-7-19.pdf>].
- Exh. 15 : QPC hearing set on 9-25-19; [<http://www.pierregeneviev.eu/npdf2/avis-25-9-19-aud-QPC-CC-15-7-19.pdf>].